Wits Business Review
ISSN(Print): 3093-4818
ISSN(Online): 3093-4826
Volume 1, 2025

Research Article

Reframing African Business Education through Collaboration, Innovation, and Impact

Maurice Radebe[1] **Imhotep Paul Alagidede**[2]

[1,2] *Wits Business School, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*

Abstract

Africa's business schools stand at a strategic inflection point. As the Association of African Business Schools (AABS) marks its twentieth anniversary, the sector must evolve from knowledge transmission to system transformation. This article develops a practice-grounded framework the CII Agenda (Collaboration, Innovation, Impact) that reorients African management education toward coalition-building across academia–industry–policy, curriculum and venture innovation that embed frontier technologies, and measurable societal outcomes beyond rankings. Drawing on illustrative initiatives associated with African business schools, the paper advances implementable pillars, governance mechanisms, and evaluation metrics capable of translating scholarship into entrepreneurial ecosystems, inclusive prosperity, and resilient institutions over the next two decades and beyond.

Keywords: *African business schools; Collaboration; Innovation; Impact; Entrepreneurship; Curriculum reform; AI; fintech; blockchain; inclusive growth; ecosystem building*

1 Introduction: From Commemoration to Consequence

The twentieth anniversary of the Association of African Business Schools (AABS) marks a critical milestone in the evolution of management education on the continent. It invites both celebration of progress and sober reflection on persistent challenges. In the past two decades, African business schools have expanded in number, increased their visibility, and strengthened their academic reputations. Yet the developmental aspirations of the continent industrial diversification, the digitalisation of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), food security, climate resilience, and the creation of sustainable employment for its youthful population demand a sharper, more muscular theory of change from business education. The key proposition advanced here is that *excellence in scholarship must be matched by excellence in system design and execution* if African business schools are to move beyond rhetoric into genuine transformation.

Correspondence author. *E-mail address:Imhotep.alagidede@wits.ac.za, alagidede@gmail.com and ipalagidede@ug.edu.gh*

JEL Cassifications: I23; L26; O33; O55; M13

Business education has traditionally been cast as a vehicle for knowledge transmission, producing graduates to feed managerial hierarchies in government, state corporations, and private enterprise. While this function remains important, the African condition requires a more activist and entrepreneurial role for schools of management. The next phase must reposition them as *civic entrepreneurs*, institutions that not only theorise but actively orchestrate innovation ecosystems, nurture firms, influence policy, and provide pathways for inclusive economic mobility. This reframing is not cosmetic; it represents a paradigmatic shift in how business schools justify their existence and evaluate their success.

The continent faces a dual imperative. On one hand, the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) and the demographic dividend of a young, technologically literate population present immense opportunities. On the other hand, structural constraints fragile capital markets, infrastructural deficits, regulatory inertia, and entrenched inequality pose serious obstacles. Business schools cannot remain passive observers of these tensions. They must engage as institutional architects capable of convening coalitions, creating market-shaping innovations, and delivering measurable developmental outcomes.

To theorise this role, this paper advances the CII Agenda Collaboration, Innovation, and Impact as a strategic schema for the next twenty years of African business education. Each pillar represents a critical position. First, collaboration must evolve from symbolic partnerships to systemic coalitions that unite academia, industry, and policy actors around common problem domains. African schools must build intellectual commons, shared data repositories, and collaborative research platforms that break the siloed logic of institutional prestige and instead accelerate collective capability. Collaboration, in this sense, is not a strategy of convenience but a governance model for knowledge and enterprise production.

Second, innovation in business education must shift from incremental curriculum revisions to structural reinvention. It is not sufficient to append case studies of African firms to Western frameworks. Innovation requires integrating frontier technologies artificial intelligence, blockchain, fintech rails into the very spine of curricula, while simultaneously embedding venture creation and problem-solving labs as standard pedagogical formats. The task is to transform the business school from a classroom into an incubator of firms, policies, and solutions. Crucially, innovation must also be ethical, inclusive, and contextually grounded, avoiding uncritical importation of models ill-suited to African realities.

Third, impact must become the lodestar of evaluation. Rankings and accreditation remain valuable, but they cannot constitute the sole measure of success. African business schools should adopt scorecards that privilege developmental outcomes: SME growth attributable to training, job creation, productivity improvements, reductions in inequality, and measurable contributions to environmental sustainability. Impact must be methodologically credible, with transparent metrics and open reporting, thereby transforming accountability into a source of legitimacy and leverage.

This triadic schema does not merely restate familiar aspirations. It is designed to theorise African business schools as institutional innovations in themselves, capable of reconfiguring the relationship between knowledge, enterprise, and society. The argument is that without a deliberate agenda for collaboration, innovation, and impact, the continent risks reproducing managerial elites detached from its developmental challenges, perpetuating dependency on imported frameworks and external accreditation. Conversely, with the CII Agenda, business schools can become engines of systemic change, aligning scholarship with Africa's broader trajectory of industrialisation, digital transformation, and social equity.

The following sections unpack these three pillars in greater detail, grounding them in concrete examples while outlining practical mechanisms through which African business schools can realise their transformative potential. The call is not merely to commemorate twenty years of AABS, but to set in motion the next twenty years in which business education becomes inseparable from Africa's economic renaissance.

2 Collaboration: Designing Coalitions, Not Just Memoranda

No single school, firm, or ministry can deliver system-level outcomes acting alone. Africa's pressing challenges power reliability for manufacturing, logistics for agribusiness, working-capital constraints for SMEs, and in-

dustrial greening are multi-dimensional and require collaborative solutions. For business schools, collaboration must move beyond ceremonial partnerships and symbolic memoranda of understanding (MoUs). It must become the disciplined practice of coalitional production of knowledge, firms, and policy, anchored in African contexts yet globally connected.

2.1 From Bilateral Partnerships to Multi-Sided Platforms

Bilateral partnerships between African business schools and foreign universities or corporations have proliferated over the past two decades. These arrangements joint MBA programmes, faculty exchanges, or co-authored research have undeniably expanded visibility. Yet, as Otieno (2018) notes, they often yield "thin exchanges" that neither transform curricula nor address systemic economic problems. The next phase demands multi-sided platforms that convene universities and technical colleges, industry consortia and accelerators, and public agencies with development financiers.

Consider the challenge of SME financing, where collateral constraints and information asymmetries continue to stifle growth. A multi-sided platform linking a business school, fintech start-ups, regulatory bodies, and commercial banks could jointly design alternative credit scoring systems using transaction and mobile data. This is not hypothetical: Kenya's Twiga Foods illustrates how combining fintech innovation, agricultural expertise, and state regulatory facilitation can reconfigure value chains (Ndemo & Weiss, 2017). Likewise, energy partnerships such as the African Energy Transition Partnership demonstrate the collective power of universities, renewable energy firms, and ministries to pilot decentralised solutions (World Bank, 2022).

Business schools are uniquely positioned to act as orchestrators of these coalitions, translating research into field-tested ventures while equipping students to manage complexity. At Wits Business School (WBS), this logic already underpins its collaborations with South African corporates in mining and energy, where classroom projects evolve into policy recommendations and pilot ventures.

2.2 Scholarly Platforms for African Voices

Collaboration must also transform the epistemic terrain. Global management scholarship continues to marginalise African realities, relying on case studies and theories transplanted from the Global North (Zoogah & Nkomo, 2013). African business schools must therefore become producers of original knowledge, not merely sites of transmission. WBS's Case Studies Centre, the largest on the continent, is a prime example. By documenting the trajectories of rising African firms such as *Heart of Oceans Industries*, the centre provides contextually grounded teaching material and contributes to theorising Africa's entrepreneurial renaissance.

The task ahead is to couple case study production with intellectual innovation. New theories such as Metanomics a meta-economic framework linking resources, digital infrastructure, and decentralised finance and Endogenous Resource-Backed Currencies (ERBCs), pioneered at the University of Ghana and the University of the Witwatersrand, demonstrate early pathways out of colonial epistemic structures. These frameworks challenge inherited monetary and managerial orthodoxy, offering prototypes for what the next twenty years of African scholarship might look like. They also underscore the necessity of collaborative intellectual ecosystems, where ideas incubated in African universities feed into global debates on monetary and developmental policy.

Platforms such as the Wits Business Review (WBR) extend this epistemic project. By aligning editorial pipelines with continental research programmes in digital trade, public finance, and health supply chains, WBR can amplify African voices while embedding scholarship into implementation. Much as MIT's D-Lab translates research into prototypes and enterprises (Smith et al., 2014), African journals and case study centres should become entry points into fellowships, pilots, and policy engagements.

2.3 Governance for Collaboration

Coalitions, however, require governance to move from aspiration to impact. Without clear rules, partnerships risk devolving into *ad hoc* arrangements vulnerable to fragmentation. The experience of African business schools reveals promising experiments with institutionalising governance.

First, intellectual property and data-sharing regimes must be codified to ensure equity in collaborative outputs. The emergence of Porthologos Press, incubated by African business schools, signals progress. As a decentralised publishing platform offering open science and open data channels, it expands opportunities for African scholarship while embedding norms of reproducibility and accessibility. In partnership with the African Editors Forum, Porthologos is positioning Africa as a generator, rather than a consumer, of global knowledge.

Second, venture equity norms are critical. The Nile Valley Multiversity has pioneered equity-sharing arrangements between academics, industry, and communities. These have birthed enterprises such as *Gnostic Agritech Limitless and Indigenous Foods*, demonstrating how collaborative governance can produce tangible ventures with distributive ownership. Though still early and uneven, such arrangements highlight how structured equity mechanisms can balance incentives and secure long-term sustainability.

Third, open-access repositories are essential to disseminate reproducible research and data widely. By pooling datasets across schools, African researchers can overcome information scarcity, which has long undermined policy formulation and venture design. The African Open Science Platform (Bezuidenhout et al., 2019) offers a precedent for building trust and accelerating innovation through shared infrastructure.

Fourth, annual impact convenings provide visibility, accountability, and momentum. Rather than conferences devoted solely to academic exchange, convenings should showcase the translation of projects into start-ups, policy pilots, and social enterprises. They can serve as African equivalents of Silicon Valley demo days, retooled to foreground developmental outcomes rather than only commercial valuation.

These experiments underscore that collaboration is not simply about goodwill but about institutional design. Governance frameworks reduce transaction costs, clarify incentives, and embed norms of reciprocity. Schools that codify these rules will likely outperform peers on metrics of translation: start-ups launched, contracts secured, and policies influenced (North, 1990).

2.4 Toward a Coalition-Centred Business School

The broader implication is that African business schools must become coalition-centred institutions, with collaboration hardwired into their identity. This requires reconfiguring incentives: faculty should be rewarded not only for peer-reviewed publications but also for co-producing ventures, datasets, and policy instruments. Students should graduate with tangible outputs ownership stakes in start-ups, prototypes tested in communities, or datasets curated for policy use rather than solely diplomas.

Early signs of this coalition-centred turn are visible: WBS's Case Studies Centre documenting African firms; Porthologos Press reshaping publishing; Nile Valley Multiversity experimenting with equity-sharing; and theoretical breakthroughs like Metanomics and ERBC pointing toward new paradigms. Yet challenges remain. Collaboration is uneven across institutions, governance mechanisms are still nascent, and funding models remain fragile.

Nonetheless, the trajectory is clear. By embedding collaboration into the DNA of business schools through multi-sided platforms, scholarly production, and structured governance African institutions can move from being followers of imported models to pacesetters in global knowledge and practice. The coalition-centred business school thus represents not only a pedagogical innovation but also a developmental imperative for Africa's next twenty years.

2.5 City, Industry, and University Collaboration: The Case of Jozi My Jozi

Over the past two years, Jozi My Jozi has emerged as one of the most compelling demonstrations of coalition-driven development in South Africa. Conceived as a people's partnership, it brings together business, academia,

civil society, and municipal authorities to reimagine and rebuild Johannesburg. At its core is a recognition that a city is not merely a geographic space but a co-produced social and economic system whose resilience depends on the alignment of multiple actors. The initiative offers a concrete expression of the CII Agenda Collaboration, Innovation, and Impact by translating cross-sectoral coordination into visible urban transformation.

The project's revitalisation of the Nelson Mandela Bridge and the surrounding precincts signals more than aesthetic renewal. It represents a governance experiment where universities such as Wits Business School (WBS), in partnership with corporates and the City of Johannesburg, function as civic entrepreneurs diagnosing systemic issues, convening expertise, and catalysing public–private problem-solving. The collaborative efforts in cleaning, securing, and reactivating Johannesburg's inner city illustrate the productive synergy between academic knowledge, municipal authority, and private sector capability.

A major milestone in this collaborative arc is the Memorandum of Understanding between WBS, the Department of Justice and Constitutional Development, and the Department of Public Works and Infrastructure to advance the creation of a Justice Precinct. Envisioned as an integrated, accessible hub for legal and administrative services, the Justice Precinct embodies the principle that institutional infrastructure is foundational to inclusive development. By ensuring that citizens can access justice safely, efficiently, and with dignity, the initiative directly addresses urban inequality and institutional fragmentation.

For African business schools, Jozi My Jozi provides an instructive template. It illustrates how schools can transcend classroom-bound roles to anchor urban renewal, mediate between sectors, shape public policy, and prototype solutions to complex social challenges. The initiative validates the argument that business schools must evolve into coalition-centred institutions able to convene partners, mobilise resources, and generate measurable developmental impact. In this sense, Jozi My Jozi is not merely a case study; it is a blueprint for the next generation of African management education.

3 Innovation: From Curriculum as Content to Curriculum as Venture

Innovation in African business education must entail a decisive break from imported orthodoxy whether in curriculum design, scientific inquiry, technological adoption, or governance practice. For too long, business schools on the continent have relied on borrowed case studies, transplanted theories, and accreditation models that neither capture Africa's complex realities nor equip its graduates to re-engineer them. True innovation requires moving from teaching about entrepreneurship to building firms, from discussing technology to embedding it, and from consuming foreign intellectual frameworks to producing original African theories and enterprises.

3.1 Venture-Centred Pedagogy

One of the most significant ruptures with orthodoxy lies in pedagogy. The conventional model teaching managerial concepts through cases drawn from multinational corporations in Boston, London, or Tokyo does little to prepare African graduates for the structural informality, fragmented supply chains, and infrastructural deficits they will confront at home (George et al., 2016). Instead, every core course finance, operations, strategy, and marketing should scaffold a live venture or policy tool, transforming the classroom into an incubation studio.

The rise of African-born ventures linked to academic ecosystems illustrates this possibility. *Porthologos* Press, a "fifth-world" publishing company incubated through business school collaborations, is reconfiguring knowledge dissemination by deploying 3D printing and decentralised digital platforms for open science. *Gnostic Agritech Limitless* demonstrates how biodynamic farming, data-driven analytics, and blockchain traceability can emerge from faculty–industry–student partnerships. *Heart of Oceans Industries* has taken consumer goods rooted in African cultural and supply-chain contexts and scaled them into competitive brands. These are not abstract exercises: they embody the studio model where ideas progress through design sprints, prototyping, field tests, and capital raising within the academic calendar.

Such pedagogy transforms students into producers, not consumers, of innovation. It situates the business school as a *venture-creation ecosystem*, not simply a site of credentialing.

3.2 Technology as a Core Pillar

The second rupture concerns technology. Imported curricula often relegate digital technologies to elective modules, reinforcing the perception that AI, blockchain, and fintech are peripheral to management education. Yet Africa's developmental trajectory is inseparable from digital transformation. The fintech sector alone attracted over USD 2 billion in investment in 2022, with Nigeria, Kenya, and South Africa leading (Partech, 2023). To treat such shifts as optional is to abdicate responsibility.

Technology must therefore become a core pillar of the curriculum, with application rather than awareness as the bar. Examples abound:

- AI labs that co-develop demand forecasting models with retailers and farmers can help stabilise volatile food systems while training students in predictive analytics.
- Blockchain modules that tokenise inventory or carbon credits can be tested within regulated sandboxes, preparing graduates to engineer credible mechanisms for resource-backed trade.
- Fintech studios building SME credit engines using alternative data can address the USD 330 billion financing gap that constrains African small businesses (IFC, 2021).

These models are not speculative. Universities like Strathmore in Kenya already run blockchain incubators, while fintech sandboxes have been launched in Ghana and Nigeria, offering regulatory frameworks for safe experimentation. Business schools must embed such engagements directly into curricula, positioning technology not as external disruption but as endogenous opportunity.

3.3 Faculty Incentives and Capability

Innovation is also a personnel system. Faculty cannot be expected to translate research into enterprises if the reward system remains narrowly tethered to journal publications. Schools require dual-track incentives that recognise both peer-reviewed outputs and translational outputs: open datasets, patents, venture formation, and policy instruments. Sabbaticals should include industry residencies, enabling faculty to immerse themselves in operational realities, while doctoral programmes should cultivate hybrid skills in causal inference, product engineering, and field experimentation.

The experience of the Nile Valley Multiversity, with its equity-sharing arrangements among academics, industry, and communities, provides a glimpse of what such incentive reconfiguration looks like. By granting academics a direct stake in ventures such as Indigenous Foods, the model aligns intellectual labour with entrepreneurial outcomes. This is an antidote to the orthodoxy that treats scholarship as detached from practice.

3.4 Breaking with Orthodoxy: Toward Indigenous Innovation

The call for innovation is, at its core, a call for epistemic sovereignty. African business schools must break decisively with the colonial structures of education and imported theories that have too often reduced the continent to a "field site" for testing Western models. Scholars such as Nkomo (2011) and Mbembe (2016) have long argued that Africa's future lies not in mimicking Europe or America but in theorising from its own histories, resources, and social practices.

Anecdotes from classrooms reinforce this imperative. Students at WBS often report a sense of dissonance when taught to model consumer behaviour using frameworks developed for homogenous, externally affluent Western markets frameworks that fail to capture the realities of informal trade, mobile money ecosystems, or collective forms of consumption. Similarly, African entrepreneurs have noted that conventional risk models

exclude their businesses because they do not fit Western templates of collateralisation or credit scoring. Such disjunctures illustrate why curricula rooted in imported orthodoxy remain inadequate.

New theories emerging from African institutions point to alternatives. Metanomics, developed both at the University of the Witwatersrand and the University of Ghana(see Alagidede, 2025a, 2025b), reframes economic systems as resource-anchored, decentralised, and technologically enabled, challenging fiat-centric orthodoxy. Endogenous Resource-Backed Currencies (ERBCs) propose mechanisms whereby African resources underpin new monetary arrangements, offering escape from cycles of debt and dependency. These innovations exemplify the intellectual independence and policy creativity that African business schools must mainstream.

Proposition I1

Schools that reweight incentives toward translational outputs, integrate technology as a curricular spine, and structure pedagogy around ventures will measurably outperform peers in grant capture, industry contracts, and student venture survival within five years. The argument is not only empirical but normative: Africa's business schools must cease being pipelines for managerial orthodoxy and instead become pacesetters of indigenous innovation.

4 Impact: Measuring What Matters, Managing What We Measure

Prestige indicators rankings, accreditations, salary surveys are useful signals but weak proxies for developmental contribution. If African business schools claim a public mission, their impact systems must privilege outcomes such as SME formalisation, productivity gains, policy influence, skills deepening in strategic sectors, and environmental performance. Two Wits-based exemplars the African Energy Leadership Centre (AELC) and the Centre on African Philanthropy & Social Investment (CAPSI) show how academic–industry ventures can be organised and evidenced against that standard.

The AELC was established in 2017 explicitly to close leadership and skills gaps that constrain Africa's energy system, positioning itself as a hub of teaching, research, and policy engagement rather than a conventional programme silo. Its postgraduate offerings (including a Postgraduate Diploma and a Master of Management in Energy Leadership) are framed as instruments for sector capability, not merely credentials an intent embedded in its founding narrative as "the first of its kind in Africa." (see www.africanenergycentre.co.za). That orientation has translated into visible convening power and policy-relevant discourse. In May 2025, AELC hosted a Natural Gas Symposium with the Industrial Gas Users Association of Southern Africa that drew participants from across the gas value chain regulators, financiers, upstream operators, and industrial end-users illustrating how a school can broker informed debate in the midst of South Africa's looming "gas cliff." Attendance approached 300 and the event placed concrete issues of security, pricing, and infrastructure on a shared agenda for action. In impact terms, such convenings are not ends in themselves; they are inputs into a causal chain that runs from knowledge exchange to regulatory clarity and investment mobilisation. The point is that AELC's outputs (graduates placed in utilities, regulators, and IPPs; public symposia; technical briefings) are designed to produce sector-level outcomes, and the centre publishes and promotes that purpose as part of its identity.

CAPSI offers a complementary template in a different domain. Originating in a Wits chair and expanded into a centre in 2018, CAPSI set out to professionalise philanthropy and social investment through research, education, and networks that reflect African realities. Crucially, it evaluates itself. A mid-term review covering 2016–2022 documents programme logic, beneficiary reach, perceived relevance, and the inevitable time lag between training and institutional change evidence that moves beyond activity counts to contribution claims. (capsi.co.za) CAPSI's portfolio spans degree programmes (including a Master of Management in African Philanthropy), short courses, and continent-wide convenings (e.g., Africa Day, annual conferences), tightening the loop between knowledge creation and field practice. (wbs.ac.za) The scholarly output anchored at the centre (from research articles to journals and conference proceedings) further signals an attempt to normalise Africa-led inquiry into giving, capital, and civic investment topics often treated as peripheral in business curricula.

(capsi.co.za) The centre now runs a world class journal, the I*nternational Review of Philanthropy and Social Investment*, which covers the extensive and multidisciplinary field rooted in African realities.

These two cases help specify what a mission-consistent scorecard should measure. Collaboration is observable in multi-stakeholder compacts (e.g., AELC–IGUA-SA), shared teaching and data infrastructures, and the diffusion pathways from events to policy fora; innovation is evidenced by new programmes, regulatory sandbox engagement, and problem-solving labs; impact is tracked through graduate placement into strategic roles, policy references, organisation-level practice changes reported by beneficiaries, and sector indicators such as cost-to-serve or emissions intensity when data permit. The practical challenge and where many centres stumble is attribution. AELC cannot claim exclusive credit for any subsequent gas policy decision; CAPSI cannot unilaterally "explain" shifts in philanthropic strategy across African foundations. Both therefore adopt mixed-method evaluation: theory-of-change models to map plausible pathways; pragmatic counterfactuals when data allow; and qualitative process tracing to document contribution amid complexity. CAPSI's review makes that stance explicit; AELC's public reporting and convenings function as traceable nodes along an impact pathway.

Two cross-cutting issues follow. First, time: energy regulation cycles and philanthropic practice change over years, not semesters. Scorecards must distinguish leading indicators (graduates trained; convenings held) from lagging outcomes (rule changes; funding allocations; operational uptake) and keep longitudinal records rather than annual snapshots. CAPSI's evaluation explicitly flags the long-horizon nature of its intended effects, a candour that enhances credibility. (capsi.co.za) Second, comparability: without common definitions what constitutes a job created, a formalised SME, a carbon-intensity improvement inter-school comparisons are meaningless. Here, publishing indicator dictionaries and opening anonymised datasets (where privacy permits) is not only scholarly good practice but a reputational asset that invites scrutiny and partnership. AELC's and CAPSI's public-facing materials already move in this direction, but formalised, annually updated dashboards would complete the loop.)

Proposition P1 therefore stands on firmer ground: transparent, methodologically careful reporting attracts serious partners. Centres that show their work logic models, baselines, stakeholder feedback, and outcome trajectories become magnets for philanthropic and corporate co-investment, creating a reinforcing cycle between evidence, resources, and impact. The lesson from AELC and CAPSI is not that measurement is easy, but that it is feasible, valuable, and inseparable from mission when schools position themselves as civic producers rather than credentialing factories. (capsi.co.za)

5 The CII Agenda: A Pragmatic Framework

The preceding sections establish why collaboration, innovation, and impact must be treated as an integrated production system rather than a set of aspirations. The question now is execution: how a business school and, by extension, a network such as AABS can operationalise CII in ways that are context-sensitive, measurable, and financially durable. The framework below translates the background logic and propositions (C1, I1, P1) into an operating model that any school can adopt and adapt, with clear roles, rhythms, and decision gates.

5.1 Design principles

The first principle is problem-first orientation. Each school should begin not with courses or centres, but with a portfolio of nationally or municipally material problem statements co-owned with ministries, firms, financiers, and communities. This alignment prevents curricular drift and anchors research in use-cases SME working-capital constraints, last-mile logistics for agrifood, power reliability for industry, urban informality, or carbon productivity. The second principle is portfolio logic. Rather than betting the institution's reputation on a single flagship initiative, schools should run multiple staged experiments with explicit go/kill criteria. A portfolio absorbs uncertainty, recognises that many ideas will graduate only to the prototype stage, and reserves capital and attention for the few that prove scalable.

Third, open architectures must be the default: interoperable data schemas, modular courses that can be swapped across schools, and portable credentials that reduce barriers to cross-institutional teaching and joint supervision. This is how evidence and pedagogy travel, and how smaller schools participate without prohibitive fixed costs. Fourth, ethical engineering is non-negotiable. Guardrails for AI, blockchain, and fintech (privacy, bias mitigation, consumer protection, model transparency) must be embedded into course design, IRB-style review for field pilots, and vendor selection. Finally, financial sustainability requires blended revenue: tuition and executive education for baseline operations; research grants for discovery; outcomes-based contracts with government for service innovations; and a venture/royalty pool that recycles equity or licensing proceeds into scholarships, labs, and data infrastructure. These principles reflect the hard lessons from exemplars: coalitions only persist when they solve shared problems, spread risk, keep knowledge open, protect citizens, and pay their own way.

5.2 Execution roadmap (24–36 months)

Quarters 1–2: Set the table. The dean constitutes a CII Steering Council with authority across teaching, research, finance, legal/IP, and partnerships. Its first act is to adopt a Collaboration Charter covering shared IP, data governance, venture equity norms, and conflict resolution and then select three priority domains (for example, SME finance, agricultural productivity, and logistics). Each domain receives a lead (faculty + practitioner co-chairs) and a logic model that links inputs to outcomes to impact, with baseline indicators and data-collection plans. Early wins here are administrative: the council schedules a quarterly operating rhythm, approves model NDAs and data-sharing agreements, and secures two external partners per domain (regulator/industry/financier) to co-own problem framing. Decision gate: charters, baselines, partner MOUs, and ethical review protocols must be in place before build activities begin.

Quarters 3–4: Build the pipeline. Studio-style courses are launched in each domain; methods bootcamps train faculty and students in product discovery, causal inference, and field experimentation; and the legal office negotiates two regulatory sandbox agreements (e.g., with a central bank for fintech or an energy regulator for DER pilots). Case writers and data engineers stand up a Data Commons (documentation, de-identification, APIs) so that every project leaves reproducible artefacts. The goal in this phase is flow: at least a dozen prototypes ventures, policy tools, or software artefacts advancing through stage gates that test desirability, feasibility, viability, and safety. Decision gate: only prototypes that clear predefined thresholds (user uptake, unit economics, policy readiness, ethical compliance) move to translation.

Year 2: Translate at scale. The portfolio is narrowed to 6–10 vehicles with credible pathways to adoption: a working-capital scoring engine with a partner bank; a cold-chain routing tool with a logistics consortium; a DER tariff simulation for an energy regulator. Each vehicle receives a cross-functional team (faculty PI, product lead, policy liaison, data steward) and a translational budget. The school publishes open datasets and code for projects that will not be commercialised, and it hosts a Pan-African CII Demo & Policy Day to surface investment and regulatory commitments. Meanwhile, the promotions committee pilots translational criteria recognising datasets, patents, revenue-bearing contracts, and policy instruments alongside journal outputs to align incentives with I1. Decision gate: vehicles must demonstrate adoption intent (letters, pilots, budget lines) and a credible governance plan (consumer protection, monitoring, incident response).

Year 3: Institutionalise. The school endows an Impact Fund, seeded by philanthropy and matched by portions of equity/licensing proceeds, to finance domain cohorts and student scholarships. The Steering Council formalises the translational promotion track, creates joint appointments with engineering/law/public health, and publishes the first public CII Scorecard with methods notes and an indicator dictionary closing the loop to P1. A cross-school federation (via AABS) standardises data schemas and credential portability so that smaller schools can plug into the architecture. An external audit ethical, financial, and methodological validates the year's claims and identifies course corrections. Institutionalisation is evidenced not by the number of centres but by the operating system's persistence: a predictable cadence of projects moving through gates; incentives that reward translation; and a transparent account of what worked, what failed, and why.

Three implementation safeguards deserve emphasis. First, decision rights and anti-capture: the Steering Council must be insulated from single-donor agendas by diversified funding and published conflict-of-interest rules. Second, kill criteria: to preserve portfolio health, projects that fail ethical tests or cannot clear adoption hurdles are wound down quickly, with their data and lessons archived for reuse. Third, equity and access: procurement, fellow selection, and venture support should include explicit targets for women- and youth-led enterprises and for suppliers from historically marginalised communities turning collaboration into capability, not just contracts.

If executed with this discipline, schools will test Proposition C1 (coalition governance improves translation), Proposition I1 (reweighted incentives raise grant capture, contracts, and venture survival), and Proposition P1 (transparent reporting attracts partnerships) within a single strategic cycle. More importantly, they will have converted CII from a slogan into an institutional architecture one that continuously learns, compounds reputational capital, and produces public value at a pace no single actor could achieve alone.

6 Wits Business School and the AABS Network: Roles and Responsibilities

A coherent CII strategy requires institutional actors that play distinct, complementary roles. Wits Business School (WBS) is well placed to act as a civic entrepreneur an institution that designs and runs production systems for public value while the Association of African Business Schools (AABS) functions as a system integrator that reduces coordination costs across schools, regulators, and markets. Students, finally, must be positioned not as consumers of curriculum but as founders and public problem-solvers whose work creates ownable assets and enduring capabilities.

As a civic entrepreneur, WBS should organise cross-border studios that convene faculty, regulators, firms, and civic actors around problem domains (for example, SME finance, agricultural productivity, urban logistics, and clean energy). These studios are not conferences; they are year-long pipelines that move from problem scoping to field pilots, with clear stage-gates and "kill criteria" to protect portfolio quality. WBS can also anchor an annual Wits Business Review Translational Research Issue, explicitly pairing accepted manuscripts with an implementation plan (datasets released, prototypes tested, or policy instruments trialled) and publishing a methods note on attribution. This closes the loop between scholarship and action and signals to faculty and partners that publication is a starting point for translation, not the end-point of academic recognition. A third role for WBS is stewardship of a Pan-African Open Data Commons for operations, finance, and development experiments. Practically, this entails standardising metadata, de-identification protocols, and licensing so that case writers, students, and policy teams across the continent can reuse assets. Governance matters: a small data ethics board, audited access logs, and an indicator dictionary ensure trust, comparability, and responsible reuse.

Where WBS orchestrates, AABS integrates. The network can curate a shared curriculum spine for AI, fintech, and blockchain fundamentals syllabi, labs, assessment rubrics, and portable micro-credentials so that schools of uneven capacity can align quickly without reinventing core materials. AABS is also the natural interlocutor for regulators: it can negotiate umbrella sandbox frameworks (model MoUs, consumer-protection baselines, model-risk standards) that member schools and their partners can "click-through," thereby shrinking the time from prototype to pilot. Finally, AABS should operate a continental mentorship exchange, moving practitioners into classrooms and students into firms and public agencies. A lightweight clearing-house tracking supply and demand, stipulating supervision and IP norms, and accrediting placements for academic credit turns episodic internships into a functioning labour-market for translational skills.

Students sit at the centre of this architecture. Capstone projects must culminate in ownable assets: ventures with cap tables and governance; licensable IP with clear inventorship and revenue-share; or policy tools with named maintainers, documentation, and adoption pathways. To institutionalise this shift, schools should issue translation credits alongside grades, require public artefacts (code, data, or policy memos) for graduation in studio-based courses, and seed alumni-mentored founder circles that provide continuity after completion. The signal to students is unambiguous: your scholarly work must travel into markets, ministries, and communities because that is how the continent compounds capability.

7 Ethics and Inclusion: Guardrails for Frontier Ambition

Frontier ambition AI-enabled credit, tokenised inventories, carbon markets, algorithmic logistics creates value only if it is licensed by society. The CII architecture therefore hardwires ethics and inclusion into design, not as compliance afterthoughts but as conditions for scale.

Three commitments are pivotal. First, consumer protection and data dignity must anchor all digital credit and payments experiments. In practice this means explicit consent, purpose limitation, appeal and redress mechanisms, periodic model audits for bias and drift, and incident-response playbooks. Every studio should file a short "safety case" before a field pilot, analogous to aviation or medical trials, detailing risks, mitigations, and monitoring. Secondly, gender and youth pipelines into venture leadership must be engineered, not hoped for. Scholarship set-asides, targeted founder residencies, and leadership rotations in studios ensure that women and young scholars are over-represented where ideas are turned into assets. Third, procurement justice translates institutional spend into ecosystem building: schools commit a share of purchasing to vetted SMEs and social enterprises, pairing procurement with technical assistance so suppliers can meet quality and compliance thresholds.

Environmental responsibility is equally non-negotiable. Operations and supply-chain courses should integrate scope-3 literacy and circularity by design, and all pilots should include simple, auditable environmental indicators (energy intensity, waste diversion, emissions proxies). The point is not performative virtue; it is to prevent ethical, social, or environmental backlash risk from destroying fragile early trust in new market forms. Institutions that embed these guardrails discover that ethics is a capability: it speeds regulatory approval, attracts mission-aligned capital, and lowers the cost of partnership by making risk legible and manageable.

8 Discussion: Anticipating Constraints and How to Overcome Them

Execution will encounter friction. The most common constraints finance, capability, and regulation are tractable when treated as design problems rather than excuses.

Financing constraints arise because translational work sits in the "valley of death": too applied for research grants, too early for commercial capital. A blended stack can bridge the gap: outcome-based grants for validated use-cases; revenue-share agreements with partner firms where school-built tools demonstrably cut costs or raise revenues; and an evergreen impact fund that recycles equity, royalties, and programme surpluses into new cohorts. Alumni can capitalise a revolving seed facility, while development partners underwrite first-loss tranches. Clear stage-gates and kill criteria protect this capital from mission creep.

Capability gaps are expected: not every scholar becomes a venture builder. What matters is team completeness within each studio pedagogy, methods, product, and policy all represented and institutional pathways to acquire missing skills. Joint appointments with engineering, public health, and law reduce silo costs; executive-in-residence and policy-fellow programmes import scarce know-how at speed; sabbaticals can be reoriented toward industry residencies or regulator placements. Doctoral training should combine causal inference with product engineering and field experimentation so that methods travel from paper to pilot to policy.

Regulatory friction reflects legitimate public-interest protections as much as bureaucracy. The remedy is pre-negotiation and transparency. AABS-brokered model sandbox compacts give supervisors confidence that pilots meet baseline consumer-protection and model-risk standards; standard data-protection clauses and privacy-impact templates lower review time; and periodic pre-competitive working groups with central banks, competition authorities, and standards bodies build shared understanding of technology and risk. Schools should deploy basic regtech (documentation generators, audit trails, explainability reports) to make compliance cheaper and faster.

Two additional risks deserve attention. Data infrastructure is often patchy; the Open Data Commons proposed above combined with careful de-identification and tiered access creates positive externalities and reduces duplication. Governance capture by single donors, dominant firms, or internal cliques can derail purpose. Diversified funding, published conflict-of-interest rules, and external audits (ethical, financial, methodological)

are the antidote. In short, constraints are real but designable. A school that blends capital stacks, completes teams through porous boundaries, and treats regulators as co-designers will convert friction into flywheel. The practical payoff is not merely that Propositions C1, I1 and P1 become testable within a single strategic cycle; it is that collaboration, innovation, and impact mature from rhetoric into an operating system one that steadily compounds capability, legitimacy, and developmental return.

9 Conclusion: Building Together, at Pyramidal Scale

Africa's next twenty years will belong to institutions that can compose coalitions, convert knowledge into enterprise, and count what truly counts. The CII Agenda turns that ambition into an operating system shifting business schools from credentialing pipelines to production systems of inclusive prosperity. What distinguishes rhetoric from strategy is disciplined execution: coalition charters that lower transaction costs; venture-centred pedagogy that treats every course as a studio; translational incentives that reward datasets, patents, ventures and policy tools alongside journal articles; and public scorecards that track what matters for firms, households and governments.

If the continent embraces this architecture, the indicators of success will be unmistakable. Within a single strategic cycle, cross-border studios will regularise co-creation between universities, regulators and firms; umbrella sandboxes will compress the time from prototype to policy-compliant pilot; and open data commons will accelerate replication and scale, especially for schools with fewer resources. Five years on, the portfolio logic will yield compounding returns: student-founded firms with credible governance and cap tables; policy instruments maintained beyond the semester; and faculty promotions that normalise translation as scholarship. A decade out, Africa's management education will be recognised not for importing orthodoxy but for exporting models from resource-anchored monetary innovations to ethical fintech rails and climate-productive logistics that other regions study and adapt.

Wits Business School is positioned to act as a civic entrepreneur in this transition curating cross-border studios, editing a Translational Research Issue of the Wits Business Review that couples publication with implementation, and stewarding a Pan-African Open Data Commons. Yet the ambition is necessarily collective. As a system integrator, the AABS network can standardise the curriculum spine for AI/fintech/blockchain, broker continental sandboxes to de-risk experimentation, and run a mentorship exchange that moves practitioners into classrooms and students into firms and public agencies. Students themselves founders and public problem-solvers will carry the architecture forward, graduating with ownable assets (ventures, licensable IP, policy tools) rather than only grades.

Guardrails remain non-negotiable. Ethics and inclusion consumer protection, data dignity, gender and youth pipelines, procurement justice, and environmental stewardship are not add-ons but the very conditions that unlock regulatory trust, mission-aligned capital, and social legitimacy. Financing, capability and regulatory frictions are real; they are also design problems. Blended capital stacks, team completeness across pedagogy–methods–product–policy, and pre-negotiated sandboxes convert friction into a flywheel.

The wager of this article is clear: collaboration (C1), innovation (I1) and transparent impact (P1) are mutually reinforcing. Schools that hardwire them will attract better partners, compound reputation into resources, and transform resources into results. The future of African business will not be awaited; it will be built collaboratively, innovatively, and with measurable impact at pyramidal scale.

References

1. African Energy Leadership Centre. (2025, September 12). *The future of natural gas supply in South Africa under the spotlight at Wits Business School*. Retrieved from https://africanenergycentre.ac.za/the-future-of-natural-gas-supply-in-south-africa-under-the-spotlight-at-wits-business-school/

2. Bezuidenhout, L. M., Leonelli, S., Kelly, A. H., & Rappert, B. (2017). Beyond the digital divide: Towards a situated approach to open data. *Science and Public Policy*, *44*(4), 464–475. https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scw036

3. Centre on African Philanthropy and Social Investment. (2023, June). *CAPSI evaluation report*. Retrieved from https://capsi.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/CAPSI-Evaluation-Report.pdf

4. Centre on African Philanthropy and Social Investment. (n.d.). *About CAPSI*. Retrieved from https://capsi.co.za/

5. George, G., Corbishley, C., Khayesi, J. N. O., Haas, M. R., & Tihanyi, L. (2016). Bringing Africa in: Promising directions for management research. *Academy of Management Journal*, *59*(2), 377–393. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2016.4002

6. International Finance Corporation. (2017). *MSME finance gap: Assessment of the shortfalls and opportunities in financing micro, small and medium enterprises in emerging markets*. World Bank Group. https://www.ifc.org/content/dam/ifc/doc/mgrt/121264-wp-public-msmereportfinal.pdf

7. International Finance Corporation. (n.d.). *MSME finance*. Retrieved from https://www.ifc.org/en/what-we-do/sector-expertise/financial-institutions/msme-finance

8. Mbembe, A. (2016). Decolonizing the university: New directions. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, *15*(1), 29–45. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022215618513

9. Ndemo, B., & Weiss, T. (Eds.). (2017). *Digital Kenya: An entrepreneurial revolution in the making*. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-57878-5

10. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

11. Partech. (2022). *2022 Africa Tech Venture Capital Report*. Retrieved from https://partechpartners.com/africa-reports/2022-africa-tech-venture-capital-report

12. Smith, A., Fressoli, M., & Thomas, H. (2014). Grassroots innovation movements: Challenges and contributions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *63*, 114–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.12.025

13. Wits Business School. (2025, May 14). *WBS hosts first Natural Gas Symposium*. Retrieved from https://www.wits.ac.za/news/latest-news/research-news/2025/2025-05/wbs-hosts-first-natural-gas-symposium.html

14. Zoogah, D. B., & Nkomo, S. M. (2013). Management research in Africa: Past, present, and future. In T. R. Lituchy, B. J. Punnett, & B. B. Puplampu (Eds.), *Management in Africa: Macro and micro perspectives* (pp. 9–31). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203111482-2

APPENDIX

Table 1: Abbreviations & Acronyms

Abbreviation	Definition
AABS	Association of African Business Schools
AELC	African Energy Leadership Centre (Wits Business School)
AfCFTA	African Continental Free Trade Area
AI	Artificial Intelligence
API	Application Programming Interface
CAPSI	Centre on African Philanthropy & Social Investment
CII	Collaboration–Innovation–Impact (Agenda)
COI	Conflict of Interest
DER	Distributed Energy Resources
DiD	Difference-in-Differences (impact evaluation method)
DPIA	Data Protection Impact Assessment
ERBC	Endogenous Resource-Backed Currency/Currencies
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent (jobs metric)
GHS	Ghanaian Cedi (ISO currency code)
IGUA-SA	Industrial Gas Users Association of Southern Africa
IP	Intellectual Property
IPP	Independent Power Producer
IRB	Institutional Review Board (ethics committee)
JTBD	Jobs To Be Done (user research lens)
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
MEL	Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
MVP	Minimum Viable Product
NDA	Non-Disclosure Agreement
NPL	Non-Performing Loan
PIA	Privacy Impact Assessment
QA	Quality Assurance
RCT	Randomised Controlled Trial
SBOM	Software Bill of Materials
SLA	Service-Level Agreement
SME	Small and Medium-sized Enterprise
STRIDE	Spoofing, Tampering, Repudiation, Information disclosure, Denial of service, Elevation of privilege (threat model)
tCO2e	Tonnes of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
ToC	Theory of Change
UE	Unit Economics
WBR	Wits Business Review
WBS	Wits Business School
WCAG	Web Content Accessibility Guidelines

Note: This list covers abbreviations used throughout the article and accompanying toolkits. Where both PIA and DPIA are referenced, adopt the term required by your local data protection law.

Author note:

This scholarly essay adapts and extends the address delivered the first author at the AABS 20th Anniversary gathering in Cairo (25 May 2025), reframing its pillars into an actionable agenda suitable for publication and cross-institutional implementation.